



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,787	10/22/2001	Tsuyoshi Namiki	Q66831	8411

7590

01/26/2006

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

PATEL, NIRAV B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2135

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment dated on 12/07/2005.
2. Claims 1-30 are under pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3, 8, 11-13, 18, 21-23, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kori et al (US Pub. No. 2004/0028385).

As per claim 1, Kori discloses:

an *adding device* [**Fig. 1 component 8 Additional information addition section**] for *adding the reproducing limit information to the supplied recording information* to generate added recording information [**paragraph 0055 lines 2-5 “a contents ID, copy generation management information (i.e. reproducing limit information) and copying count management information as additional information to music data as contents information”, paragraph 0065 lines 4-11 “the additional information**

Art Unit: 2135

addition section 8 adds the contents ID, SCMS information and permitted number of times of copying from the contents ID generation section 3, copy generation management information generation section 4 and copying count management information generation section 5 to the digital audio signal of the music data to be recorded onto the CD 100”];

an embedding device **[Fig. 1 component 2 WM superposition section]** *for embedding the reproducing limit information on the recording information within the generated added recording information in a way of preventing from illegal detection to generate embedded recording information* **[paragraph 0061 lines 1-7 “the electronic watermark processing is processing to embed information as noise into a portion which is present in video data or music data”, paragraph 0063 lines 2-7 “the WM superposition section 2 superposes the electronic watermark information corresponding to the contents ID, SCMS information and permitted number of times of copying formed by the WM formation section 6 in such a manner as described above onto the digital audio signal supplied thereto through the input terminal 1”]; and**

a recording device for recording the generated embedded recording information into the recording medium **[Fig. 1 component 9 recording processing section paragraph 0067 lines 1-5 “recorded onto the CD 100 by the recording processing section 9”].**

As per claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further Kori discloses:

the *embedding device* **[Fig. 1 component 2 WM superposition section]** *embeds correspondence information* (i.e. copy count management information) corresponding to the reproducing limit information in one-to-one and having smaller information amount than the reproducing limit information, on the recording information, in a way of preventing from illegal detection to generate the embedded recording information **[paragraph 0061 lines 1-7 “permitted number of times of copying supplied thereto to form electronic watermark information representing them. The electronic watermark processing is processing to embed information as noise into a portion which is present in video data or music data”]**.

As per claim 3, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further Kori discloses:

a replacement information generating device (i.e. control section 50) for generating replacement information by using the reproducing limit information (i.e. copy history information); and a replacing device (i.e. control section 50) for replacing (i.e. changing) one part of the recording information with the generated replacement information to generate the embedded recording information **[paragraph 0099 lines 1-10 “if the permitted number of times of copying of the copy history information corresponding to music data instructed to be copied which is stored in the copying history information management memory 52 is one or more, then the**

control section 50 decrements the permitted number of times of copying of the copying history information by one to update the pertaining permitted number of times of copying of the copying history information stored in the copying history information management memory 52”].

As per claim 8, Kori discloses:

a reproducing limit information detecting device [Fig. 3 the copy generation management information detection section 382] for *detecting* the added reproducing limit information [paragraph 0085 lines 2-4 “the copy generation management information detection section 382 detects SCMS information as copy generation management information”];

a reproducing limit information extracting device [Fig. 3 the WM decoding section 41] for *extracting* the embedded reproducing limit information from the recording information [paragraph 0087 lines 1-5 “the WM decoding section 41 performs extraction and discrimination of the contents ID, SCMS information and permitted number of times of copying superposed as electronic watermark information on the output data of the selector 34 in the form of an audio signal”];

a recording information extracting device [Fig. 3 the WM decoding section 41] for extracting original recording information from the recording information on which the reproducing limit information is embedded [paragraph 0087 lines 1-5 “the WM decoding section 41 performs extraction and discrimination of the contents ID, SCMS information and permitted number of times of copying superposed as

Art Unit: 2135

electronic watermark information on the output data of the selector 34 in the form of an audio signal”]; and

an output control device for, only when the detected reproducing limit information *matches* the extracted reproducing limit information, *supplying* the extracted original recording information based on the reproducing limit information **[paragraph 0098 lines 1-12 “the copying history information corresponding to the music data instructed to be copied is provided in the copying history information management memory 52. Then, if the permitted number of times of copying of the copy history management information corresponding to music data instructed to be copied which is stored in the copying history information management memory 52 is 0 (i.e. doesn’t match), then the control section 50 determines that copying by the initial permitted number of times has been performed already, and controls the recording/erasure control section 42 to inhibit execution of copying of the music data (i.e. doesn’t supply to output I/F)”].**

As per claim 11, this is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 1 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 12, the rejection of claim 11 is incorporated and further claim 12 is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 2 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2 above.

As per claim 13, the rejection of claim 11 is incorporated and further claim 13 is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 3 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3 above.

As per claim 18, this is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 8 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 8 above.

As per claim 21, this is a recording medium claim corresponds to recording apparatus claim 1 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 22, the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated and further claim 22 is a recording medium claim corresponds to recording apparatus claim 2 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2 above.

As per claim 23, the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated and further claim 23 is a recording medium claim corresponds to recording apparatus claim 3 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3 above.

As per claim 28, this is a recording medium claim corresponds to recording apparatus claim 8 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 8 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-7, 9, 10, 14-17, 19, 20, 24-27, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kori et al (US Pub. No. 2004/0028385) and further in view of Kato (US Patent No. 6,343,281).

As per claim 4, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further Kori discloses:

a *detecting device* [**Kori, Fig. 3 component 381 contents ID detection section**] for *detecting identification information* particular to each recording medium and previously recorded in the recording medium, prior to the recording of the embedded recording information [**Kori, paragraph 0085 lines 1-2 “the contents ID detection section 381 detects a contents ID”**];

the *embedding device* embeds the generated information [**Kori, Fig. 1**];

and the *recording device* records the generated information [**Kori, Fig. 1**].

Kori doesn't explicitly disclose that key information generating device, embeds the generated key information at starting and records the generated key information into a start information recording area.

However, Kato discloses that a *key information generating device* for generating key information for encrypting cryptographic information used for encrypting the recording information, by using the detected identification information [**Kato, col. 16 lines 24-26 “the temporary key generating circuit 505 is adapted to generate a temporary key to be used for temporarily encrypting the data”**]; *key information* [**Kato, Fig. 12A identifier bit 231a**] on start information detected at *starting* the reproducing of the recording information to generate embedded start information, prior to the recording of the embedded recording information [**Kato, col. 15 lines 29-30 “the data structure 215b of FIG. 12A is obtained by adding an identifier bit 231a to the top of the data structure 215, col. 15 lines 34-38 the data read control circuit 401 of the data reproduction/copying processing section 334 or 334b, reads the identifier bit 231a or 231b”**], and *records* the generated embedded start information into a start information recording area that is an area on the recording medium where the start information is to be recorded, prior to the recording of the embedded recording information [**Kato, col. 6 lines 66-67 “the data structure 215 (Fig. 12A) is used for transmission and recorded on a recording medium”**].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kato into the teaching of Kori to use key information generating device for encrypting cryptographic information. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to utilize encryption system for confidential data transmission [**Kato,**

col. 2 lines 4-9 “as known and popular anti-fraud measures for data transmission, confidential data are often encrypted. Encryption systems are generally categorized as open key systems and secret key systems, although the latter are popularly used when data are to be transmitted and processed at high speed”].

As per claim 5, the rejection of claim 4 is incorporated and further Kori discloses:

a *detecting device* [Kori, Fig. 3 component 381 contents ID detection section] for *detecting* the key information from the start information recording area when recording the embedded recording information into the recording medium [Kori, *paragraph 0085 lines 1-2 “the contents ID detection section 381 detects a contents ID”*];

the adding device [Kori, Fig. 1 component 8 Additional information addition section] adds the reproducing limit information and the encrypted cryptographic information to the recording information when recording the embedded recording information into the recording medium to generate the added recording information [Kori, *paragraph 0055 lines 2-5 “a contents ID, copy generation management information (i.e. reproducing limit information) and copying count management information as additional information to music data as contents information”, paragraph 0065 lines 4-11 “the additional information addition section 8 adds the contents ID, SCMS information and permitted number of times of copying from the contents ID generation section 3, copy generation management information generation section 4 and copying count management information generation*

section 5 to the digital audio signal of the music data to be recorded onto the CD 100”].

Kori doesn't explicitly disclose that a cryptographic information encrypting device for encrypting the cryptographic information.

However, Kato discloses that a cryptographic information encrypting device **[Kato Fig. 4 component 316 Encryption Circuit]** for encrypting the cryptographic information by using the key information to generate encrypted cryptographic information **[Kato, col. 7 lines 57-60 “the encryption circuit 316 encrypts the DVD-RAM data (the data structure 215) output from the CF altering section 317 by means of the temporary key Stk from the key sharing circuit 315”].**

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kato into the teaching of Kori to use a cryptographic information encrypting device for encrypting the cryptographic information. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to utilize encryption system for confidential data transmission **[Kato, col. 2 lines 4-9 “as known and popular anti-fraud measures for data transmission, confidential data are often encrypted. Encryption systems are generally categorized as open key systems and secret key systems, although the latter are popularly used when data are to be transmitted and processed at high speed”].**

As per claim 6, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4 above.

As per claim 7, the rejection of claim 6 is incorporated and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5 above.

As per claim 9, the rejection of claim 8 is incorporated and further Kato discloses:

recording medium [Kato, col. 6 lines 66-67 Fig. 4 component 312 DVD-RAM, “the data structure 215 is used for transmission and recorded on a recording medium”] ,

a key information detecting device for detecting the key information from the start information recording area [Kato, col. 15 lines 34-38 “the data read control circuit 401 of the data reproduction/copying processing section 334 or 334b, whichever appropriate, and the CF altering section 317 (including that of the second embodiment) of the third embodiment reads the identifier bit 231a or 231b”] ;

an encrypted cryptographic information detecting device for detecting the encrypted cryptographic information from the recording medium [Kato, col. 8 lines 7-12 “the data reproduction/copying processing section 334 of the receiver 302 deciphers the data structure 215 received from the decryption circuit 333 or the read processing section 326 by means of the key bundle Mks it has and takes out the data encryption key Dk and the copy management flag CF”];

Art Unit: 2135

an obtaining device for *decoding* (i.e. decrypting) the detected encrypted cryptographic information by using the detected key information and obtaining original cryptographic information **[Kato, col.7 lines 6-11 “the decryption circuit 333 decrypts the encrypted data structure 215 received from the IEEE 1394 I/F section 331 by means of the temporary key Stk form the key sharing circuit 332 and delivers it to the data reproduction/copying processing section 334”]; and**

a decoding device for *decoding* (decrypting) the extracted original recording information by using the obtained original cryptographic information and supplying the decoded recording information to the output control device **[Kato, col.7 lines 6-11 “the decryption circuit 333 decrypts the encrypted data structure 215 received from the IEEE 1394 I/F section 331 by means of the temporary key Stk form the key sharing circuit 332 and delivers it to the data reproduction/copying processing section 334”].**

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kato into the teaching of Kori to use decryption circuit to decrypt the encrypted data structure. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to utilize decryption circuit to decrypt the encrypted data (used for confidential data transmission) structure **[Kato, col. 2 lines 4-9 “as known and popular anti-fraud measures for data transmission, confidential data are often encrypted. Encryption systems are generally categorized as open key systems and secret**

Art Unit: 2135

key systems, although the latter are popularly used when data are to be transmitted and processed at high speed”].

As per claim 10, the rejection of claim 8 is incorporated and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9 above. Further Kori discloses: recording medium in which *content information* indicating the content of the recording information is recorded [**Kori, paragraph 0066 lines 1-8 “the additional information is recorded into the TOC (Table Of Contents) or a directory of the CD 100 so as to correspond to the music data recorded or is added to an area different from that of the music data but in a corresponding relationship to the music data”].**

As per claim 14, the rejection of claim 11 is incorporated and further claim 14 is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 4 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4 above.

As per claim 15, the rejection of claim 14 is incorporated and further claim 15 is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 5 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5 above.

As per claim 16, the rejection of claim 11 is incorporated and further claim 16 is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 6 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4 above.

As per claim 17, the rejection of claim 16 is incorporated and further claim 17 is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 7 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5 above.

As per claim 19, the rejection of claim 18 is incorporated and further claim 19 is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 9 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9 above.

As per claim 20, the rejection of claim 18 is incorporated and further claim 20 is a method claim corresponds to apparatus claim 10 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 10 above.

As per claim 24, the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated and further claim 24 is a medium claim corresponds to apparatus claim 4 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4 above.

As per claim 25, the rejection of claim 24 is incorporated and further claim 25 is a medium claim corresponds to apparatus claim 5 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5 above.

As per claim 26, the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated and further claim 26 is a medium claim corresponds to apparatus claim 6 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4 above.

As per claim 27, the rejection of claim 26 is incorporated and further claim 27 is a medium claim corresponds to apparatus claim 7 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5 above.

As per claim 29, the rejection of claim 28 is incorporated and further claim 29 is a medium claim corresponds to apparatus claim 9 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9 above.

As per claim 30, the rejection of claim 28 is incorporated and further claim 30 is a medium claim corresponds to apparatus claim 10 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 10 above.

Response to Argument

8. Applicant's arguments filed December 7, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that:

"Kori clearly does not disclose embedding the reproducing limit information in the recording information within the generated added recording information" and "the adding section 8 does not embed the CGM information (i.e. reproducing limit information) on the recording information".

Examiner maintains that:

Kori teaches the adding device (i.e. additional information addition section or watermark superposition section), the embedding device (i.e. watermark superposition section or additional information addition section) and recording device (i.e. recording processing section) [Fig. 1]. Kori teaches the reproducing limit information [paragraph 0055 lines 1-5 "the present embodiment adds three kinds of information including a contents ID, copy generation management information and copying count management information as additional information to music data as contents information"] and adding the reproducing information to the content/data [paragraph 0055 lines 1-5, paragraph 0065 lines 4-11, "The additional information addition section 8 adds the contents ID, SCMS information and permitted number of times of copying from the contents ID generation section 3, copy generation management information generation section 4 and copying count management

information generation section 5 to the digital audio signal of the music data to be recorded onto the CD 100”]. Kori teaches the claimed subject matter. In addition, Kori teaches that embedding the reproducing limit information in the recording information within the generated added recording information [Fig. 1, copy generation management information and copy count management information are added to the content/data at section 2, the output of section 2 is supplied to section 7 and the output of section 7 is supplied to section 8. Section 8 (additional information addition section) added (i.e. embedded) the copy generation management information and the copy count management information to the output of section 7 (i.e. embedded within the generated added recording information or embedded the information on the recording information), paragraph 0055 lines 1-5, paragraph 0065 lines 4-11].

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Conclusion

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nirav Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-5936.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax and phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

NBP
1/6/06

Handwritten signature
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2135